

COLISIONAL HISTORY OF ASTEROID ITOKAWA RECOVERED FROM EBSD AND $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ANALYSES OF TWO PARTICLES

F. Jourdan¹, N. Timms¹, C. Mayers¹, A. Frew¹, E. Eroglu², M. Schmieder^{1,3}, P. Bland¹, M. Abe⁴, T. Yada⁴. ¹Dept. of Applied Geology & JdL centre, Curtin University, Australia. F.jourdan@curtin.edu.au. ²School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of WA, Australia. ³School of Earth & Environment, University of WA, Australia. ⁴Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, Japan.

It has been suggested that the first-generation parent body of Itokawa must have been initially as large as few tens of kilometers and subsequently destroyed by an impact. The resulting fragments were then re-agglomerated to form a rubble pile asteroid that may have been further fragmented by subsequent impacts [1]. In this study, we investigate the deformation (shock) state of two plagioclase + olivine + pyroxene composite grains of 165 μm and 91 μm in diameter (#0030 and #0013) using electron backscattered diffraction (EBSD) analyses presented in 2013 at the first Symposium, and new $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ analyses successfully carried out for the first time on single particle using a low volume customized ARGUS VI noble gas instrument.

Results: EBSD analyses show that the minerals from particle #0030 do not show sign of shock. $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating was at the limit of the technique, but still allowed to recover an age of 4.6 ± 1.7 Ga and where other regression solutions suggest that the age of this particle is rather located near ~ 4.6 Ga. Particle #0013 shows clear signs of shock deformation likely associated with pressure levels of 15-35 GPa and is associated with an well-defined plateau age of 2289 ± 139 Ma ($P=1.0$) suggesting an impact at this time.

Discussion: Diffusion models taking into account porous and non-porous target rocks suggest that particle #0030 was never affected by any shock-induced thermal event. These results have important implication as they demonstrate that the breakup of the proto-Itokawa asteroid was a relatively low temperature process, at least for some parts of the parent asteroid. This is in agreement with low-energy breakup models of pre-shattered asteroids [2].

Similar calculation for particle #0013 suggests that the reset $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age of 2.3 Ga is likely to represent a minimum age for the proto-Itokawa asteroid breakup. Level of deformation of the grain allows the post-shock temperature to reach the Ar reset point of albite only in the case of significantly porous material [3, 4] hence suggesting that Itokawa was already formed at the time of the 2.3 Ga impact. This age might even represent the age of the breakup itself or a secondary breakup event although more grains would be needed to confirm the latter statements.

[1] Michel and Handerson, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* 2013; [2] Michel et al., *Icarus* 2004 ; [3] Schmidt et al., *Lunar and Planetary conference*, 1994 ; [4] Davidson et al., *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta*, 2012.